

PIOTR BUŁAWA

Tax cancellation

A comparative analysis



UNIWERSYTET ŚLĄSKI
WYDAWNICTWO

Tax cancellation: A comparative analysis

Piotr Buława

Tax cancellation: A comparative analysis

Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Śląskiego • Katowice 2021

[Kup książkę](#)

Referee
Piotr Stanisławiszyn

Table of contents

Acknowledgements	9
Introduction	11
Chapter I	
Comparative assumptions	15
1. Operative rule	15
2. Comparative law methodology	16
3. Uniform terminology	18
Chapter II	
Sources of law and prerogative	21
1. Right to impose tax liabilities	21
2. Prerogative	25
3. Sources of law	28
3.1. Poland	28
3.2. Germany	29
3.3. Czech Republic	31
3.4. England	32
4. Formal and actual prerogative	34
5. Pardon	34
Chapter III	
Privilege and dispensation	37
1. Purpose of cancellation	37
2. Privilege	38
3. Dispensation	40
4. Classification criteria	43
5. Legal norms and factual circumstances	43
Chapter IV	
Discretion	47

Table of contents

1. Uznanie administracyjne	49
1.1. General remarks	49
1.2. The role of discretion in tax matters	53
2. Ermessen	54
2.1. General remarks	54
2.2. Discretion in tax law cases	56
3. Správní uvážení	59
3.1. General remarks	59
3.2. The discretion under Czech tax law	61
4. Discretion	62
5. Summary	65

Chapter V

Administrative cancellation	67
1. Umorzenie	67
1.1. Scope of tax cancellation	68
1.2. Tax liabilities and tax arrears	69
1.3. Public interest and important interest of the taxpayer	71
2. Steuererlass	77
2.1. Scope of cancellation	78
2.2. Principle of equity	80
2.3. The principle of equity in the objective sense	81
2.4. The principle of equity in a subjective sense	85
3. Prominutí daně	88
3.1. Scope of cancellation	89
3.2. Default interest cancellation	91
3.3. Dispute over tax cancellation	98
4. Extra-statutory concessions	100
4.1. Legal basis	100
4.2. Reasons for granting concessions	101
4.3. Types of concession	102
4.4. Extra-statutory agreements	104
4.5. Legal nature of concessions	106
5. Acceptilation	109
6. Summary	111
6.1. General comments	111
6.2. Quasi-prerogative	114

Chapter VI

Administrative tax cancellation granted by the minister of finance	117
1. Cancellation by the MF under Polish law	117
2. Cancellation by the MF under Czech law	120
3. Cancellation by the MF under German and English law	123
4. Summary	124

Chapter VII

Insolvency arrangement	127
1. Restrukturyzacja	129
1.1. Course of proceedings	129
1.2. Conditions for cancellation	132
1.3. Position of the tax authority	133
2. Insolvenzplan	138
2.1. Arrangement for entrepreneurs	139
2.2. Position of the tax authority	141
2.3. Consumer arrangement	143
3. Reorganizacja	148
3.1. Admissible conditions for arrangement proceedings	149
3.2. Adoption of insolvency arrangement	150
3.3. Position of the tax authority	152
4. Voluntary arrangement	153
4.1. Individual Voluntary Arrangement	154
4.2. Arrangement for legal persons—CVA	157
4.3. Position of the tax authority	160
5. Summary	163

Chapter VIII

Debt relief	167
1. Oddłużenie	169
1.1. Scope of debt relief	169
1.2. Consumers	170
1.3. Conditions for consumer debt relief	172
1.4. Entrepreneurs	176
1.5. Debt relief before the reform in 2016	178
2. Restschuldbefreiung	180
2.1. Opening and conduct of the proceedings	180
2.2. End of proceedings	181
2.3. Conditions for debt relief	182
3. Oddlužení	184
3.1. Scope of debt relief	185
3.2. Opening debt relief proceedings	186
3.3. Conditions for debt relief	186
3.4. Method of debt relief	190
3.5. Completion of debt relief proceedings	191
4. Debt relief	193
4.1. Bankruptcy process	193
4.2. Debt relief order	195
4.3. County court administrative order	196
5. Summary	198

Conclusions	201
------------------------------	------------

Table of contents

1. Cognitive conclusions	201
1.1. Prerogative	201
1.2. Legal norm and factual circumstances	203
1.3. Privilege and dispensation	204
1.4. Role of the court	205
1.5. Summary	206
2. Practical conclusions	207
3. Recommendations for further research	208
 Abbreviations	 209
 Index	 211

Acknowledgements

This book is an updated version of a doctoral thesis defended at the Faculty of Law and Administration of the University of Silesia in Katowice, Poland on 27th November 2019. I would like to sincerely thank dr hab. Marek Zdebel for his support and assistance with preparing the thesis. Moreover, I express my thanks to prof. dr hab. Włodzimierz Nykiel and prof. dr hab. Zbigniew Ofiarski for their perceptive reviews and inspiring comments on this work.

Special thanks go to my family for their support and patience while enduring daily hardships associated with preparing this book.

dr Piotr Buława

Introduction

An initial reason for undertaking research on tax cancellation (British English: extra-statutory concession, Polish: *umorzenie zobowiązania podatkowego*, German: *Steuererlass*, Czech: *prominutí daňe*) was the entry into force of the Restructuring Law Act¹ in Poland on 1 January 2016. The Act created new possibilities to cancel tax liabilities within the framework of insolvency arrangement. However, the Act did not take into account the characteristics of tax liabilities.² Such legal circumstances in Poland led to the undertaking of research in order to present a comprehensive analysis of the institution of tax cancellation. The need for this research was particularly evident in the case of tax cancellation under insolvency law, which is not part of tax law even in the *sensu largo* sense.

The issue of tax cancellation does not only concern the Polish legal system but also other legal systems, so the research presented here is of a comparative nature. Although the reasons for undertaking the research was related to the above-mentioned specific problem of the Polish law, the book attempts to present the institution of tax cancellation in a broader context, without favouring *a priori* any of the legal systems under consideration. Thus, the research goes beyond the specific problems of the Polish law, and it is therefore justified to present the results of these studies in a book in English. In order to carry out a comparative analysis of the institution of tax cancellation considering its historical development, the author chose legal systems of the following four countries: Poland, Germany, the Czech Republic, and England. When selecting legal systems for the comparative analysis, the author considered the need for diversity. It was necessary to choose legal systems of countries that differ significantly from each other within the scope of the institution being compared.³ On the other hand,

¹ Prawo restrukturyzacyjne [Restructuring Law Act] of 15 May 2015, consolidated text in the Journal of Laws of 2019, item 243.

² Piotr Buława, 'Restrukturyzacja zobowiązań podatkowych w świetle nowego Prawa restrukturyzacyjnego – zmiany systemowe' [Restructuring of tax liabilities in light of the new Restructuring Law Act—systemic changes], *Monitor Prawniczy* 9 (2016), supp 20–24.

³ Jaakko Husa, *A New Introduction to Comparative Law* (Oxford: Hart Publishing 2015), 124.

the author kept in mind the practical comparability of institutions existing in different countries. The comparative approach may have some limitations caused by the fact that it is not possible to entirely cross cultural borders.⁴ Due to the above limitations, the analysis covers legal systems of selected European countries and not countries from other cultures.

When making the selection of legal systems to be compared, it was essential to choose countries representing two primary legal cultures of the world.⁵ The analysis covers Germany as regards the continental (Romano-Germanic) legal system and England as regards the common law. The author extended the comparison to the legal system of the Czech Republic, which, like the German and Polish legal systems, belongs to the continental legal culture but is also the 'successor' to the socialist legal culture that played the role of a separate legal culture in the 20th century.⁶

The primary objectives of the book are to assess the institution of tax cancellation in the analysed legal systems and compare the conditions of its application. The broad scope of the objectives comes from a postulate by Rodolfo Sacco, who claims that the primary aim of comparative law as a science is to acquire knowledge about legal systems. The results of this research may be applied in practice, but not necessarily so.⁷ The Cultural Manifesto of Comparative Law adopted by Italian comparatists in Trento in 1987, commonly known as 'Thesis of Trento' (Italian: *Tesi di Trento*), confirms Sacco's postulate.⁸ The proposed expansion of knowledge should not be understood in comparative law as a presentation of regulations of different legal systems, i.e. as a study at the first (essential) stage of comparative law research according to the scale of the depth of the study adopted by Husa.⁹ Comparative law research at the fourth and fifth stages, as specified by Husa, aims to explain with the help of auxiliary sciences the reasons

⁴ Ibid., 23.

⁵ In addition to the Romano-Germanic, common law, and socialist legal culture, René David enumerates the following legal cultures: Islamic, Hindu, Jewish law, and the laws of the Far East, Africa and Madagascar. René David, in Camille Jauffret-Spinozi, René David, Marie Goré, *Les grands systèmes de droit contemporains* (Paris: Dalloz 2016), 16.

⁶ Husa, *A New Introduction to Comparative Law*, 216, 219.

⁷ Rodolfo Sacco, Piercarlo Rossi, *Einführung in die Rechtsvergleichung* (Baden-Baden: Nomos 2017), 13.

⁸ 'Le Tesi di Trento', Law Faculty, University of Trento, <http://www.jus.unitn.it/faculty/guida/tesi.html>, accessed 23 February 2020.

⁹ Husa identifies five stages of comparative law research: 1) presentation of provisions of other legal systems usually in connection with drafting of legislation, 2) comparison within a specific scale or common comparative framework, usually in order to solve a particular problem or fill in gaps in law, 3) systematic presentation of similarities and differences regarding a particular institution, 4) analysis of similarities and differences regarding a particular institution with the help of, e.g. sociology of law, legal history, legal anthropology etc., and 5) problematisation, including development of the theory and methodology of comparative law. Husa, *A New Introduction to Comparative Law*, 141–142.

for the existence of similarities and differences regarding the same institution in the compared legal systems and, consequently, to generate research questions through problematisation. The author also sets himself such goals in the book.

The book is thematically divided into two parts. The first part of the monograph consists of Chapters I-IV and elaborates on common issues for all forms of tax cancellation, while in the second part, i.e. in Chapters V-VIII, it analyses particular forms of tax cancellation: administrative tax cancellation, debt relief, and insolvency arrangement. Chapter I presents necessary comparative assumptions concerning the definition of tax cancellation and other relevant notions, which are unrelated to a particular legal system, and presents comparative methods applied in this book. The next chapter shows sources of law in the compared countries, with particular emphasis on the institutions of prerogative and pardon. Chapter III discusses the institutions of privilege and dispensation in the canon law of the Catholic Church. These institutions are the reference point of the comparative analysis. The last chapter of the first part (Chapter VI) analyses the evolution of the institutions of discretion and free discretion in the compared countries. The second part of the book begins with Chapter V, which analyses administrative tax cancellation. It is evaluated with particular emphasis on the issues of the legal basis, premises for a cancellation, and judicial review. The issue of tax cancellation granted by the minister of finance is excluded from Chapter V and discussed separately in Chapter VI. Then, Chapters VII and VIII discuss possible forms of tax cancellation within the framework of insolvency law. Chapter VII presents the institution of insolvency arrangement with a particular focus on the role of the tax authority in the proceedings. Finally, Chapter VIII analyses the possibility of tax cancellation within the framework of the institution of debt relief, including the purpose of this institution from the tax law perspective. Due to the multidimensionality of the analysis, the author formulates the following research theses, which play a pivotal role in the conducted analysis and provide a point of reference for the conclusions formulated at the end of the book:

1. Tax cancellation may be made on the basis of a prerogative or statute;
2. Tax cancellation is related to a legal norm or factual circumstances of the creation or collection of a tax liability;
3. Tax cancellation may be a privilege or dispensation;
4. For decades, the courts have been deciding on tax cancellation in place of tax authority.

The analysis presented in this book is based on the law as of 1 March 2020.

Abbreviations

AO	– Abgabenordnung (Fiscal Code of Germany)
BFH	– Bundesfinanzhof (Federal Fiscal Court)
BGB	– Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch (Civil Code)
BVerfG	– Bundesverfassungsgericht (Federal Constitution Court)
Can. / cann.	– Canon/canons
ECHR	– European Convention on Human Rights
EU	– European Union
IA	– Insolvency Act 1986
InsO	– Insolvenzordnung (Insolvency Law)
IZ	– Insolvenční zákon (Insolvency Act)
k.c.	– Kodeks cywilny (Civil Code)
MF	– Minister of Finance
NSA	– Naczelny Sąd Administracyjny (Supreme Administrative Court)
NSS	– Nejvyšší správní soud (Supreme Administrative Court)
OZ	– Občanský zákoník (Civil Code)
UK	– United Kingdom
WSA	– Wojewódzki Sąd Administracyjny (Voivodeship Administrative Court)

Index

- absolutism 23, 27, 49, 66
- abuse of power 63, 106
- acceptilatio* 109–110, 130
- act of Parliament 19, 26–27, 33, 101
- Act of Union 1801 20
- action Pauliana 148
- Acts of Union 1707 20
- administrative act 40, 42, 55, 57, 78, 120, 141–142; *see also* nonregulatory administration act
- administrative quasi-legislation 33
- age of the taxpayer 87
- agreement:
 - donation agreement 186
 - international agreement 28, 31, 202–203, 207
 - pension agreement 186
- Al Fayed 105–108
- Alexander III, Russian Emperor 107
- analysis at micro-level 17, 18
- anti-corruption programme 97
- arbitrariness 40, 59, 60, 141
- army 22, 84
- atypicality 42, 204; *see also* atypical case
- Austria/Austrian Empire/monarchy 24–25, 48–49, 54, 59
- barrister pupil 104
- bank 155, 162–163
- bankruptcy 19, 138, 154, 157, 167, 193–198
- Bankruptcy Act 1705 167
- Bernatzik, Edmund 49, 206; *see also* Tezner, Friedrich
- best interest test 151
- Bill of Rights 1688 23–25, 67, 108
- Billigkeit* 43, 80
- Blackstone, William 26
- BMF-Schreiben 30; *see also* internal administrative guidelines
- Bodin, Jean 23
- breach of contract 64
- breach of tax obligations 92, 94, 97
- brexit 65
- Britain 20
- Bundestag 20, 29
- business activity 69, 74, 86–87, 129, 143, 147, 169–170, 176–179, 185, 189, 199, 204; *see also* non-business liabilities
- Caesar, Julius 23
- Code of Canon Law 37, 40–41
- capital punishment 167
- carousel fraud 176
- case:
 - atypical cases 42, 56, 81, 88, 113–114, 150, 184, 189, 192, 198, 204–205; *see also* atypicality
 - individual case 40, 43, 56, 58, 81, 119
 - typical cases 56, 81, 88, 147, 153, 204–205, 207
- Catholic Church 13, 17, 38
- certificate of due completion 159
- Chancellor of the Exchequer 18, 100

- Christian values 174
Citizens Advice 196
classification criteria 37, 38
continental legal culture/system 12, 32
commissioner 100, 105, 107
common judicial approach 74, 83–84
common core approach 17
common good 76, 174
communitarianism 64
composition 155, 157
Comptroller & Auditor General 108
constitution:
 Constitution of Prussia 1848 24
 December Constitution 1867 25
 October Diploma 1860 25
 unwritten constitution 32–33
 Weimar Constitution 1919 27; *see also* Weimar Republic
constitutional monarchy 24, 27, 34, 65, 112; *see also* Habsburg monarchy
constitutional values 73, 83–84, 111
consumer:
 dishonest consumers 180; *see also* dishonest intention
 straightforward consumer 160–164
Cork Committee 195
corruption 89, 97, 99, 112
court supervisor 130, 132
co-debtors 131, 141, 156, 182, 195–196
credit:
 credit capacity 74
 credit cards/industry 197–198
 credit society 167
creditor:
 creditors' meeting 128, 130–131, 134, 139, 143, 149–150, 155–159, 185, 191
 harming creditor 132–133, 164
 minority creditor 156
criminal offence 27, 93, 149, 188–189
Cromwell, Oliver 23
crown 24, 26, 32, 33, 105, 108; *see also* monarch
Czechoslovak Republic 24, 59
debt forgiveness agreement/contract 78, 109–111, 130
default interest 61, 68, 78, 89, 90–99, 111–113, 120–123
deferment fee 68
de lege ferenda proposal 124, 137, 201, 205
Dicey, Albert Venn 26, 34
Digest of Justinian 41
dignity of cancellation (*Erlasswürdigkeit*) 85, 87, 111, 114, 144
discretion:
 Austrian model of discretion 50, 54, 66
 discretion of the ministry of finance 121
 double discretion 57
 full discretion 26, 34, 40, 47–48, 50, 55, 59, 62, 65, 202, 205
dishonest intention 186–188, 192; *see also* dishonest consumer
distribution plan 170–171
double absolute majority 139–140
dummy invoices 176
duty of care and management of taxes 107
economic risk 74
economic and social conditions 94
equity 43, 51, 80–88, 111, 114, 144, 173–177
European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) 63–64, 174, 206
Ermessen 47, 55
enforcement 70, 79, 131, 133–135, 141–143, 146, 160, 181–182, 190, 192–193, 195
equitable grounds/reasons/basis 82, 172–174, 177–180, 198
error 73
executive body 92–94, 149; *see also* management board
extraordinary events/cases 74, 79, 121, 179; *see also* random cases

-
- extra-statutory concessions 63–64, 100–107, 112, 123, 208
- extra-statutory sources of law 29
- factual circumstances 13, 43–47, 50, 55–56, 74–77, 80–88, 91, 95, 109, 112–114, 123, 125, 132, 144, 203–206
- factual circumstances of the creation or collection of a tax liability 125
- fairness 52, 64–65, 71, 151
- family 74, 84–85, 188, 194
- feudalism 22, 39
- financial situation 45, 85–86, 143, 151, 165, 167, 172, 175, 178, 183, 203, 205; *see also* tax health
- fire 74, 95, 118
- fiscal:
- fiscalism 22–23; *see also* fiscal interest
 - fiscal interest 14–17, 54, 72, 73, 111, 135–138, 142, 144, 163, 165, 180, 207
 - general social fiscal interest (*ogólnospołeczny interes fiskalny*) 72
 - non-fiscal objective/purpose 135, 137
- financial burden 94
- foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) 103
- French Revolution 24
- fresh start 145, 176, 197; *see also* responsible lending
- fraudulent intent 149–153, 164
- Garbarino, Carlo 17
- general clause 84, 88, 94, 98, 109, 114, 132, 150, 153, 157, 162, 176, 187, 197, 198
- General Counsel of the Republic of Poland (*Prokuratoria Generalna Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej*) 134
- General Directorate of Finance (*Generální finanční ředitelství*) 62
- general legal order 81
- Glorious Revolution 23, 202
- Gnadenrecht* 27, 34; *see also* pardon
- good government 64
- guarantor 131, 141, 156, 182, 195
- Guideline no. GFR-D-21 92, 94, 97–98, 113
- Habsburg monarchy 23, 24; *see also* constitutional monarchy
- handicap 171
- hardship 101, 108, 112
- health of the taxpayer 45, 74, 93–94, 128; *see also* financial health
- hedonistic purposes 188
- heirs 68, 85, 113
- housing needs 171
- human dignity 60, 175, 190
- humanitarian grounds/reasons 172–180, 198, 208
- Husa, Jaakko 12
- illegality 79
- illness 74, 87, 143, 171, 175, 179; *see also* serious chronic illness
- imperfections in legislative technique 67
- inequity in the objective sense 144
- insolvency:
- insolvency table 130–131, 140–141, 183
 - insolvency practitioner 154–155, 157, 159, 162, 194
 - Insolvency Register 191
 - objectives of insolvency law 142, 144, 146–147, 163
- Insolvency Service's Insolvency Practitioner Unit 154
- instalments 95–96, 155, 191, 194, 196–197
- instalment schedule 186, 188, 190–191; *see also* monthly payment and repayment schedule
- Income Payments Order (IPO) 194
- interest:
- important interest of the taxpayer 43, 53, 68, 71–77, 80, 111, 113–114, 117, 132, 137

- interes społeczny* 43
- public interest 11–14, 42–43, 53, 68, 71–77, 80, 87, 111, 117, 124, 132, 135, 137, 142
- internal administrative guidelines 58, 92, 113, 143, 206
- Joseph's reforms 23
- judicial review 13, 47–66, 106, 112, 120, 124, 202, 205, 206
- just and reasonable causes 40
- justice:
- statutory justice 81, 114; *see also*
 - principle of justice
 - distributive justice 174
- law:
- administrative law 42, 50–51, 61–63, 134
 - Austrian administrative law 62
 - bylaws 30
 - British law 20
 - canon law 13, 17, 38–39, 41, 43, 70, 114, 123, 180
 - common law 12, 26, 39, 62–64, 66, 206
 - contract law 64, 109, 144
 - criminal law 28, 35, 42, 174
 - customary law 29, 30
 - EU law 64, 83, 206
 - interpretation of law 82
 - law of policy 65
 - public law 33, 41, 63–64, 78, 111, 170, 174, 176
 - roman law 38–39, 41, 81, 88, 109–110, 189
 - Scottish law 20
 - socialist law 12, 50
 - strict letter of the law 107
 - tort law 64
- legal acts 28, 32–33, 92
- legality 48, 51–52
- legislature 19, 39, 41–43, 48, 57, 60, 82, 88, 99, 121, 193
- life situation 74, 80, 85, 111, 175
- limitation period 83, 90
- location privilege 40
- Louis I of the Hungary 24
- Lucius case 34, 202
- maintenance obligation 128, 191
- majoritarianism 64
- management board 177–178; *see also* executive body
- material irregularity 158
- Middle Ages 22–23, 39, 108
- mercy (law of mercy) 28, 35
- minimum living conditions 85
- minimum repayment 186–187, 198
- minimum wage 96
- minister of finance 13, 34–35, 41, 61, 67–68, 77, 81, 100, 117–125, 142, 152, 163
- minor anomalies 99, 101
- monarch 22–24, 26, 27, 34–35, 38–39, 48; *see also* crown
- monthly payment 190–191; *see also* instalment schedule and repayment schedule
- monetisation of assets 86
- morality 172–173, 175, 198
- moratorium 155, 196
- motivation discount 182
- Mussnngung, Reinhard 35
- multi-stage process of applying the law 44
- National Revenue Administration 134–135
- natural disaster 45, 68, 71, 77, 85, 95, 125
- natural obligation 141, 182, 192
- need for cancellation (*Erlassbedürftigkeit*) 85, 87, 111, 114, 144
- negative acknowledgement of debt 110
- nonregulatory administration act 134; *see also* administrative act
- norm:
- abstract-general norm 19
 - legal norm (meaning) 44
 - ethics/ethical norms 52, 73
- novation 152

-
- no-fettering rule 65
 - non-business liabilities 154, 193, 197; *see also* business activity
 - Nobles Democracy 24
 - Ombudsman 63
 - operative rule 15, 17, 19, 37, 68, 70, 117
 - out-of-court proceedings 144–148; *see also* pre-trial proceedings
 - over-indebted 86, 197–198, 205
 - pardon 13, 27, 34–35, 62, 98
 - parliamentarism 48
 - parliamentary control 25, 137
 - parliamentary sovereignty 32, 63–64
 - participation of the tax authority 133–134, 136–137, 143, 147, 163–164, 168, 203, 207
 - period:
 - period of good behaviour 191–192, 198
 - period of good conduct (*Wohlverhaltensphase*) 181–182, 184
 - period of observation 144
 - Poland:
 - First Polish Republic 113
 - Second Polish Republic 73
 - poverty 175–176
 - pre-trial proceedings 157; *see also* out-of-court proceedings
 - principle:
 - ability-to-pay principle 75–76, 87, 111, 132
 - common good 76, 211
 - common law principles 64, 66
 - constitutional principles 51–54, 60, 76, 114
 - principle of budgetary universality 135
 - principles of community coexistence 174
 - principle of equality of creditors 181
 - principles of equity and humanitarianism 172, 176
 - principle of fiscal justice 75
 - good faith 57, 83, 149
 - principle of justice 51, 56, 64, 72–76, 81, 111, 114, 173–175, 180, 198, 204; *see also* justice
 - principles of law 30, 76, 83, 180, 198
 - principle of legitimate expectation 54, 57, 64, 98, 106
 - principle of prohibition of worsening the position of a creditor 139
 - principle of proportionality 57–58, 60
 - principle/doctrine of supremacy of statute 29, 137
 - principle of tax neutrality 137
 - principle of universality of taxation 75
 - principles of social coexistence 175
 - privilege of satisfaction 128, 138
 - prohibition of obstruction 140, 146
 - public aid 69
 - public policy 162
 - purposefulness 52, 66
 - quasi-dispensation 113, 138
 - quasi-prerogative 76, 84, 91, 114, 124, 135, 137, 152, 202–203
 - R3's (The Association of Business Recovery Professionals) 160
 - random cases 74, 111; *see also* extraordinary events
 - real property 85, 95, 122–123
 - reasonableness 43
 - Rechtsstaat* 49; *see also* rule of law
 - Register of Judgments, Orders and Fines 197
 - remedy 82, 90–91, 101, 112, 149, 152, 156, 158, 168, 177, 190–191
 - repayment schedule 171–173, 177–180, 196–198; *see also* instalment schedule and monthly payment
 - responsible lending 197; *see also* fresh start
 - right:
 - fundamental rights 29, 31, 55, 80

- right to correct decision 72
- rule of law 32, 49, 81, 106; *see also Rechtsstaat*
- Sacco, Rodolfo 12
- scheme of arrangement 155, 157
- secondary legislation 29, 31, 33, 117
- Sejm* 20, 24
- Select Committee on Statutory Instruments 102
- serious chronic illness 143; *see also* illness
- settlement:
- compulsory settlement 138
 - out-of-court settlement 143
- severity 99
- social state 57; *see also* social welfare
- socialism 24, 50; *see also* socialist law
- social welfare 72; *see also* social state
- social assistance 74, 87, 135–136
- Spring of Nations 1848 25, 59, 202
- Standard Conditions for Individual Voluntary Arrangements 162
- state's revenues 72, 203
- state treasury 22, 132–138, 171, 199, 203, 207
- statutory instruments 33, 102
- StepChange Debt Charity 196
- Straightforward Consumer IVA Protocol 160–161, 163–164
- subsuming 44
- tax:
- income tax 92, 100, 102–105, 119, 122, 134, 137
 - over-taxation 84
 - tax advantage 77
 - tax agreement (arrangement) 105–108
 - tax arrears 15, 68–70, 73, 77, 104, 119, 207
 - tax assessment 58, 69, 78–79, 83, 106, 111
 - tax evader 87
 - tax evasion 182, 184
 - tax formats 17, 18
 - tax health 75; *see also* financial situation
 - tax liquidity 86
 - tax-loss carryforward 83
 - tax remitter 68
 - tax state 25
 - value-added tax (VAT) 87, 92, 102, 104, 137, 208
- tax cancellation:
- administrative tax cancellation 13, 56–57, 113, 117
 - general tax cancellation 123
 - group tax cancellation 120
 - permanent tax cancellation 119–120
- Tax Law Simplification Committee 106
- taxpayer:
- honest taxpayer 144, 183
 - liquidation of the taxpayer's assets 19, 132, 151, 190
 - taxpayer's behaviour 132, 181, 189
 - taxpayer's global debt 199
- temporary payment problems 86
- term:
- philosophical term 71
 - undefined term/s 48, 50–51, 55–56, 57, 66, 206
 - vague term 50, 73, 173
- tertiary rules 33; *see also* administrative quasi-legislation
- Tesi di Trento 12
- Tezner, Friedrich 49, 66, 206; *see also* Bernatzik, Emund
- transitory anomalies 101, 108, 112
- transparency 89, 99, 109, 112, 124, 208
- Treu und Glauben* 57, 83; *see also* principle of good faith
- trust 103, 159
- trustee 170–171, 181, 184, 191, 194–195, 197
- ultra vires 33, 63, 64, 65, 66, 104, 105, 106, 206
- unemployment benefits 72, 135

Index

unfair prejudice 156, 158–159

unlawful behaviour 76

Unbilligkeit 57

University of Greenwich 104

unforeseen circumstances 77

United States 167, 198

uznanie administracyjne 47

waiver of tax collection 70

Warsaw Uprising 119, 124

Weimar Republic 54; *see also* Weimar
Constitution 1919

VAS Helpsheet 160, 163

VAT Notice 48 102

Vorrecht 27; *see also* quasi-prerogative

Voluntary Arrangements Service (VAS)
160

zero arrangement 143

Copy editor and proofreading
Aleksandra Kalaga

Cover design
Tomasz Kipka

Technical editor
Małgorzata Pleśniar

Typesetting
Grażyna Szewczyk

Initiating editor
Paulina Janota

Copyright notice valid until 31.05.2022
Copyright © 2021 by Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Śląskiego. All rights reserved

This book was prepared within the framework of the project Cancellation of tax obligations: a comparative study. The project is financed by the National Science Centre, Poland under decision no. DEC-2016/23/N/HS5/00157.

We support open science. As of 1.06.2022, publication available under
Creative Commons license
Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-SA 4.0)



The electronic version will be published in the open access formula
in the Repository of the University of Silesia www.rebus.us.edu.pl

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6098-887X>

Buława, Piotr
Tax cancellation : a comparative analysis /
Piotr Buława. - Katowice : Wydawnictwo
Uniwersytetu Śląskiego, 2021

Publisher
Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Śląskiego
ul. Bankowa 12B, 40-007 Katowice
www.wydawnictwo.us.edu.pl
e-mail: wydawnictwo@us.edu.pl

<https://doi.org/10.31261/PN.4041>

ISBN 978-83-226-4045-6
(print edition)
ISBN 978-83-226-4046-3
(digital edition)

Printing and binding
Volumina.pl Daniel Krzanowski
Księcia Witolda 7-9
71-063 Szczecin

First impression. Printed sheets: 13.75. Publishing sheets: 16.5. Offset paper grade III, 90g. PN 4041.
Price 49,90 PLN (VAT included).



Piotr Buława

is a Doctor of Law, graduate of the Jagiellonian University in Cracow and the University of Silesia in Katowice, attorney-at-law, and tax adviser. He is the author of publications on tax and insolvency law.



This book presents the institution of tax cancellation in the legal systems of Poland, Germany, the Czech Republic, and England in the broader context of tax and insolvency law. It focuses on three particular forms of tax cancellation: administrative tax cancellation, debt relief, and insolvency arrangement. The author uses comparative legal analysis, which allows him to go beyond the usual research paradigms and provides a new perspective for a better understanding of the institution of tax cancellation. Despite significant differences between the analysed legal systems, the issues discussed are universal.

Price 49.90 PLN (VAT included)

ISBN 978-83-226-4046-3



9

788322 640463

About this book

